

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,441	07/07/2003	Jong Soo Ko	2013P095	7432	
8791	7590 04/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			LEVKOVICH, NATALIA A		
12400 WILSH SEVENTH FL	IIRE BOULEVARD LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELI	ES, CA 90025-1030		. 1743		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/615,441	KO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Natalia Levkovich	1743				
 Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR to 100 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI nute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛 🛭	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u>	January 2006.					
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application is an of the above claim(s) is/are withdreclaim(s) is/are withdreclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and remarks dated 01/25/ 2006 have been acknowledged by Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 3. Claims 1-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being unclear for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The amended claim 1 recites 'an external pump wherein the capillary channel and the external pump control a flow of a first fluid and a second fluid such that a first fluid injected via one of the fluid inlet ports flows by natural capillary force and a second fluid injected via another fluid inlet port flows by the external pump'. Since both fluids appears to flow through the same channel connected to the pump, it is not clear what structural elements prevent the first fluid from being driven by the pump. Referring to claim 2, is the site of designation the same as the chamber recited in claim 1?

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The 35 U.S.C. \$102(b) rejection of claims 1-4 as being anticipated by Hui Liu et al. (US 20040007275), is withdrawn, in light of the latest amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hui Liu.

Although the microfluidic structure of Hui Liu (discussed in details in the prior Office Action) includes pumps, the reference does not specifically consider the pumps to be external. However, it would have been within the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed external pump in the modified apparatus of Hui Liu, in order to reduce the complexity of the microfluidic structure.

- 6. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hui Liu in view of Troian et al. (US 20020150683).
- See the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action.
- 7. Claims 10-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hui Liu in view of Blackburn et al. (US 20030190608). See the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action.
- 8. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hui Liu in view of Blackburn and further in view of Troian.

See the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/25/ 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant argues that the Hui Liu patent fails to teach the external pump and two inlet ports. In reference to the external pump, see paragraph 5 of the instant Office Action. With respect to the driving forces, see paragraph 2 of the prior Office Action and claim 2 of Hui Liu. In regards to the inlets, as was previously discussed, Hui Liu does teach two inlet ports. For example, Figures 5-7 show inlet port 525 and pump inlet port 551. Figures 9-10 show channel 510 with an unmarked inlet port (in the left section) and three pump inlet port located in zones 905, 605. All the ports are in fluid communication with channel 510.

Applicant declares not to understand what 'positively claimed' means. Examiner comments that 'positively (versus inferentially) claimed / recited' means formally determined in the body of the claim. For example, upon the latest amendment, the external pump is now positively claimed / recited in claim 1, and not in claim 11.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,441

Art Unit: 1743

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalia Levkovich whose telephone number is 571-272-2462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8 a.m.-4p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700